NAME OF SPECIES: Wisteria floribunda (Willd.) DC.						
Synonyms: Kraunhia floribunda (Willd.) Taubert; Rehsonia floribunda (Willd.) Stritch; Glycine floribunda Willd.						
Common Name: Japanese wisteria; fuji; noda-fuji; Chinese Cultivars? YES NO wisteria;						
A. CURRENT STATUS AND DISTRIE	BUTION					
I. In Wisconsin?	1. YES	NO				
	2. <u>Abundance</u> : 1 population		 ı ft.			
	3. <u>Geographic Range</u> : Juneau County (Zone 4)					
	4. <u>Habitat Invaded</u> : upland wooded site, fairly dense, did not					
	observe flowering in 2011					
	Disturbed Areas Undisturbed Areas					
	5. <u>Historical Status and Rate of Spread in Wisconsin</u> :					
	6. <u>Proportion of potential range occupied</u> : This species is in a					
	minimal portion of a potential range.					
II. Invasive in Similar Climate	1. YES 🔀	NO				
Zones	Where (include trends): M					
	Tennessee to S. Carolina N		•	_	-	
	greater, as it is often miside				. ,	
	Pennsylvania, Connecticut	, and New Jers	sey. Kno	wn in	Illinois	,
	Kentucky and Ohio. (1)					
III. Invasive in Which Habitat	1. Upland Wetland Dune Prairie Aquatic					
Types	Forest Grassland G					: -1
	Marsh Lake Strea	m 🖂 Otner	Torest	eages	s, roads	iaes,
IV. Habitat Affected	ditches, ROW (6)	rated: soil old	adanta	blo an	nd tolor	ant of
IV. Habitat Affected	1. <u>Soil types favored or tolerated</u> : soil pH adaptable and tolerant of many soil types though prefer moist, deep, fertile soils(4, 6)					
	2. Conservation significance				т, Ој	
	2. Corisci vation significant	e or triretterie	<u>a nabit</u>	<u>crcs</u> .		
V. Native Range and Habitat	1. List countries and native	habitat types:	Easter	n Asia	: Japan	(2,
J	6). Damp habitats by stream					
	mountains in all areas of Ja					
VI. Legal Classification	1. <u>Listed by government er</u>	ntities? N/A				
	2. <u>Illegal to sell?</u> YES	NO 🛛				
	Notes:					
B. ESTABLISHMENT POTENTIAL A	ND LIFE HISTORY TRAITS					
I. Life History	1. <u>Type of plant</u> : Annual Herbaceous Perennial] Biennial □ Vine 図 Shru		carpic ree	: Pereni]	nial 🗌
	2. <u>Time to Maturity</u> : Can va	ry based on de	ensity o	f shad	e.	
	3. <u>Length of Seed Viability</u> :					
	4. Methods of Reproductio	n. Asexiial [X Sev	ual D	<u> </u>	
	Notes: seed, cutting, grafti	•		_	_	sare
	main method of spread. Ca		•			
	topple large trees and favo					
	(6). Racemes may have up		_			
	produced in favorable con					ne or
	two flowers to produce po					

	5. <u>Hybridization potential</u> : Genetic analysis shows that most plants in the field are hybrids of Chinese and Japanese wisteria (11). Two studies in southeastern U.S. found 82-96% of wisteria collections were hybrids of W. sinensis and W. floribunda (W. x formosa Rehder) (12).		
II. Climate	1. <u>Climate restrictions</u> : Hardy enough for New England and few areas north (8). Hardy to zone 5; cold can injure flower buds (4).		
	2. <u>Effects of potential climate change</u> : Could easily move North with climate change increasing its ability to produce flowers/seeds.		
III. Dispersal Potential	1. <u>Pathways - Please check all that apply</u> :		
	Unintentional: Bird ☐ Animal ☐ Vehicles/Human ☐ Wind ☐ Water ☐ Other:		
	Intentional: Ornamental Forage/Erosion control Medicine/Food: Other:		
	2. <u>Distinguishing characteristics that aid in its survival and/or inhibit its control</u> : Vines can topple large trees and create canopy gaps which favor seedlings and existing plants. Vegetative reproduction is main means of spread and will also resprout after mechanical damage (5, 6).		
IV. Ability to go Undetected	1. HIGH MEDIUM LOW LOW		
C. DAMAGE POTENTIAL			
I. Competitive Ability	1. <u>Presence of Natural Enemies</u> :		
	2. <u>Competition with native species</u> : Can grow to 35-60' or higher, twining around and toppling trees while also sprawling along understory shading out native plants (4, 6).		
	2. Rate of Spread: -changes in relative dominance over time:		
	-change in acreage over time: HIGH(1-3 yrs)		
II. Environmental Effects	1. Alteration of ecosystem/community composition? YES NO No Notes: Can climb into canopy, girdling and pulling down trees and smothers understory vegetation by creating dense thickets. (5)		
	2. Alteration of ecosystem/community structure?		
	YES NO No Notes: Can pull down and girdle established trees. (5)		
	3. <u>Alteration of ecosystem/community functions and processes?</u> YES NO No Notes: Regeneration of trees and understory vegetation is		
	decreased by smothering and shading out (5). Roots form symbiotic relationship with nitrogen-fixing bacterium Rhizobium – large infestations may increase nitrogen fixation of soil; can decrease ligth availabilty when growing on and over trees, but increase light when it kills trees (12)		

	4. <u>Allelopathic properties?</u> YES NO NO Notes:
D. SOCIO-ECONOMIC EFFECTS	
I. Positive aspects of the species to the economy/society:	Notes: Sold ornamentally with numerous cultivars (4). Edible leaves, flowers, and seeds although it must be used with caution as there are toxicity issues. Used for fiber, bark used for making ropes and sandals (7).
II. Potential Socio-Economic Effects of Requiring Controls:	Positive: Protect the forested lands of WI from invasion of this vine. Negative:
III. Direct and indirect Socio- Economic Effects of Plant :	Notes: Invasive wisterias currently occupy over 57,000 acres of forest in the southern U.S. with a possibility of increasing to almost 78,000 acres in the next 50 years if concerted control methods are not taken (11). Forested I and in WI could be severly impacted by the girdling, disfiguring, and toppling of trees from wisteria.
IV. Increased Costs to Sectors Caused by the Plant::	Notes:
V. Effects on human health:	Notes: All plant parts are poisonous to humans (3).
VI. Potential socio-economic effects of restricting use:	Positive: Protect the forested lands of WI from invasion of this vine. Negative: Nursery industry would not be allowed to sell plant in WI.
E. CONTROL AND PREVENTION	
I. Costs of Prevention (please be as specific as possible):	Notes: Loss of sales to the nursery trade.
II. Responsiveness to prevention efforts:	Notes: Likely a great deal of push back.
III. Effective Control tactics: (provide only basic info)	Mechanical Biological Chemical Times and uses: Mechanical: Cutting should begin early in the growing season and be repeated at two week intervals until autumn, so frequently as to exhaust its root stores. Grubbing is appropriate for small initial populations or environmentally sensitive areas. Remove entire plant, any portions of root system not removed may resprout. Chemical: Cut stump treatment uses glyphosate or triclopyr as long as the ground is not frozen. Cut stem 2: above ground level, immediately apply a 2.5% solution of glyphosate or triclopyr and water; apply to the cross section of the stem. A subsequent foliar application may be necessary to control new seedlings. Foliar spray method – apply a 2% solution of glyphosate or triclopyr and water plus a 0.5% non-ionic surfactant to thoroughly wet all foliage. Ambient air temperatures should be above 65 deg F (6, 8)
IV. Costs of Control:	Notes: Cost of herbicide, labor, hand tools or power tools.
V. Cost of prevention or control vs. Cost of allowing invasion to occur:	Notes: Since only one known population in WI, cost of prevention is very much worth not allowing an invasion to occur.
VI. Non-Target Effects of Control:	Notes:
VII. Efficacy of monitoring:	Notes:

VIII. Legal and landowner issues:	Notes:
F. HYBRIDS AND CULTIVARS AND	O VARIETIES
I. Known hybrids?	Name of hybrid: Hybrid wisteria (Wisteria formosa Rehder)
	(floribunda x sinensis) (10) Boos conversation (12/2011) with Larry
YES 🛛 NO 🗌	Stritch (USFS) said that 95 % of what he sees in the field as escaped
	is the hybrid.
	Names of hybrid cultivars: 'Issai'; 'Domino';
II. Species cultivars and varieties	Names of cultivars, varieties and any information about the invasive behaviors of each: 'Alba', 'Ivory Tower', 'Longissima Alba',
	'Snow Showers', 'Carnea', 'Kuchibeni', 'Issai', 'Macrobotrys', 'Rosea',
	'White Blue Eye', 'Variegata', 'Mon Nishiki', 'Violacea Plena'
	'Lawrence'(4)
C DEEEDENICES LISED:	
G. REFERENCES USED: UW Herbarium (Madison or Stevens F	Point
Maria Dania (Madison of Stevens)	On ty

G. REFERENCES USED: UW Herbarium (Madison or Stevens Point) WI DNR Bugwood (Element Stewardship Abstracts) Native Plant Conservation Alliance IPANE USDA Plants

Number	Reference
1	USDA, NRCS. 2007. The PLANTS Database. National Plant Data Center, Baton Rouge, LA 70874 USA. Accessed 9-21-2011. http://www.plants.usda.gov
2	USDA, ARS, National Genetic Resources Program. <i>Germplasm Resources Information Network - (GRIN)</i> [Online Database]. National Germplasm Resources Laboratory, Beltsville, Maryland. URL: http://www.ars-grin.gov/cgibin/npgs/html/taxon.pl?42047 (21 September 2011)
3	Cornell University, Department of Animal Science [Online website] URL: http://www.ansci.cornell.edu/plants/php/plants.php?action=indiv&byname=scientific&keynum=93 . Accessed 9-21-2011
4	University of Connecticut, Plant Database (UConn Plant Database of trees, shrubs, and vines by Mark H. Brand)[Online Database]. URL: http://www.hort.uconn.edu/plants/w/wisflo/wisflo1.html Accessed 9-21-2011
5	Pennsylvania DCNR Invasive Exotic Plant Tutorial for Natural Lands Mangers. URL: http://www.dcnr.state.pa.us/forestry/invasivetutorial/wisteria.htm . Accessed 9-21-2011
6	Plant Conservation Alliance, Alien Plant Working Group – Japanese Wisteria (Wisteria floribunda) last updated: 4/19/2010. http://www.nps.gov/plants/alien/fact/wifl1.htm Accessed 9-21-2011
7	Plants for a Future. URL: http://pfaf.org . Accessed 9-3-2011
8	URL: http://www.se-eppc.org/manual/japwisteria.html
9	Identity of naturalized exotic Wisteria (Fabaceae) in South-Eastern United States. JL Trusty*, BG Lockaby*, WC Zipperer & LR Goeterzen. 2007. Weed Research 27, 479-487. 9-16-2011. URL: http://www.foliusconsulting.com/images/wre-587.pdf .
10	Invasive Plant Atlas of the MidSouth (IPAMS); Mississippi State University [Online Database]. URL: http://www.gri.msstate.edu/research/ipams/species.php?SName=Wisteria%20formosa Accessed 9.28.2011
11	USDA-FS Southern Forest Futures Project Chapter 15: The Invasion of Southern Forests by Nonnative Plants: Current and Future Occupation with Impacts, Management Strategies, and Mitigation Approaches. URL: http://www.srs.fs.usda.gov/futures/reports/draft/Frame.htm Accessed 9.28.2011
12	Jordan, M.J., G. Moore and T.W. Weldy. 2008. Invasiveness ranking system for non-native plants of New York. Unpublished. The Nature Conservancy, Cold Spring Harbor, NY; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, Brooklyn, NY; The Nature Conservancy, Albany, NY. http://www.newyorkinvasivespecies.org/PlantAssessments/Wisteria.sinensis.NYS.pdf

Author(s), Draft number, and date completed: Julianne Smith, Courtney LeClair 1; 9.28.2011

Reviewer(s) and date reviewed: Tom Boos, 10/19/11

Approved and Completed Date: 12/19/2011